



## Quality Mark subcommittee – decisions required

1. The Board approves the central recommendation of the sub Committee for a quality mark jointly managed by Trustmark and MCS (Termed Plan A).
2. The board agrees the following timescales for the development of Plan A:
  - Full legal and practical agreement between Trustmark, MCS and any relevant Government department or non-Government organisation by the end of November 2017.
  - Significant progress to be demonstrated to the board by its next board meeting in early November, including specific proposals on funding, timing and delivery model for EHC.
3. The board recognises there are challenges with Plan A, and agrees that Plan B should be developed in parallel as a contingency. Plan B is a quality mark solely managed by Trustmark, with work to develop additional capacity and sub-contract additional support as required. BEIS will propose a legal framework and delivery plan for this prior to the November board and a decision should be taken at the November board meeting as to whether Plan A is viable, or if we should move to Plan B as the preferred option.